## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

ALFONSO CERVANTES REYES,	) CASE NO. 4:14-cv-1086
PETITIONER,	) ) JUDGE SARA LIOI
vs.	) MEMORANDUM OPINION
MICHAEL PUGH, WARDEN,	)
RESPONDENT.	)

This matter is before the Court upon the recommendation of Magistrate Judge Burke that the respondent's motion to dismiss petitioner's habeas corpus action be granted. (Doc. No. 18 ["R&R"].)<sup>1</sup> Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241, petitioner challenges the calculation of his sentence by the Federal Bureau of Prisons. (Doc. Nos. 1 and 9 ["Petition"].) The petitioner requested and received two extensions of time to respond to the respondent's motion to dismiss, but no response was filed by petitioner. (*See* Doc. No. 15 and Non-document order May 5, 2015.)

## Under the relevant statute:

[. . .] Within fourteen days after being served with a copy, any party may serve and file written objections to such proposed findings and recommendations as provided by rules of court. A judge of the court shall make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made.

28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Petitioner was mailed a copy of Magistrate Burke's report and recommendation on July 15, 2015. No objection has been filed, and petitioner has not sought an extension of time to file objections.

Case: 4:14-cv-01086-SL Doc #: 19 Filed: 08/04/15 2 of 2. PageID #: 209

In this case, the statutory time period has elapsed and no objection has been filed to

the R&R. The failure to file written objections to a Magistrate Judge's report and recommendation

constitutes a waiver of a de novo determination by the district court of an issue covered in the

report. Thomas v. Arn, 728 F.2d 813 (6th Cir. 1984), aff'd, 474 U.S. 140 (1985); see United States

v. Walters, 638 F.2d 947 (6th Cir. 1981).

The Court has reviewed the Magistrate Judge's report and recommendation and

adopts the same. Accordingly, respondent's motion to dismiss the petition for habeas relief

pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241, is GRANTED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 4, 2015

HONORABLE SARA LIOI

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2